

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 BEHROOZ MOHAZZABI,
11 Plaintiff(s),
12 v.
13 KENT F. LARSEN,
14 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:19-cv-02158-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket Nos. 13, 15]

15 Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion to stay discovery pending resolution of
16 the motion to dismiss. Docket No. 13; *see also* Docket No. 7 (motion to dismiss).¹ No response
17 has been filed. Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the motion practice and finds that the
18 standards have been met to justify a stay of discovery. *See Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294
19 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). Accordingly, the motion to stay discovery (Docket No. 13) is
20 hereby **GRANTED**.

21 In light of this ruling, Plaintiff's discovery plan (Docket No. 15) is also hereby **DENIED**
22 without prejudice.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: May 20, 2020

25
26 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

27
28 ¹ Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and, as a result, the Court construes his filings liberally.
Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).